Modeling Binding Kinetics at the QA Site in Bacterial Reaction Centers†
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ABSTRACT: Bacterial reaction centers (RCs) catalyze a series of electron-transfer reactions reducing a neutral quinone to a bound, anionic semiquinone. The dissociation constants and association rates of 13 tailless neutral and anionic benzo- and naphthoquinones for the QA site were measured and compared. The $K_d$ values for these quinones range from 0.08 to 90 $\mu$M. For the eight neutral quinones, including duroquinone (DQ) and 2,3-dimethoxy-5-methyl-1,4-benzoquinone (UQ$_{10}$), the quinone concentration and solvent viscosity dependence of the association rate indicate a second-order rate-determining step. The association rate constants ($k_{on}$) range from $10^3$ to $10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Association and dissociation rate constants were determined at pH values above the hydroxyl $pK_a$ for five hydroxyl naphthoquinones. These negatively charged compounds are competitive inhibitors for the QA site. While the neutral quinones reach equilibrium in milliseconds, anionic hydroxyl quinones with similar $K_d$ values take minutes to bind or dissociate. These slow rates are independent of ionic strength, solvent viscosity, and quinone concentration, indicating a first-order rate-limiting step. The anionic semiquinone, formed by forward electron transfer at the QA site, also dissociates slowly. It is not possible to measure the association rate of the unstable semiquinone. However, as the protein creates kinetic barriers for binding and releasing anionic hydroxyl quinones without greatly increasing the affinity relative to neutral quinones, it is suggested that the QA site may do the same for anionic semiquinone. Thus, the slow semiquinone dissociation may not indicate significant thermodynamic stabilization of the reduced species in the QA site.

Photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs)$^1$ are integral membrane proteins that catalyze light-initiated electron-transfer reactions across the cell membrane. In the bacteria Rhodobacter sphaeroides, RCs have nine bound cofactors embedded in three polypeptide chains (L, M, and H). These cofactors are arranged in two symmetric branches spanning the membrane ($I$). The primary electron donor P$_A$, a bacteriochlorophyll dimer, absorbs a photon obtaining the energy to reduce the active branch bacteriopheophytin ($H_A$). The reduced $H_A^-$ in turn reduces the primary quinone, QA, resulting in the P$^+$QA$^-$ state, separating charge 25 Å across the cell membrane. QA$^-$ reduces the secondary quinone, QB, yielding P$^+$QB$^-$. In isolated RCs, charge recombination, reducing P$^+$, competes with forward electron transfer. The anionic semiquinone, formed by forward electron transfer, binds to QA$^-$, accelerating its dissociation from QA$^-$, while two cycles of electron transfer forms the doubly reduced quinol at the QB site (5, 6). The quinol dissociates rapidly allowing another free quinone to bind to the QB site and restart the cycle (7, 8).

The redox midpoint potentials ($E_m$) for semiquinone formation are difficult to measure in water because quinones are reduced directly to the dihydroquinone, without formation of a stable semiquinone intermediate (4, 9). However, a variety of studies place the $E_m$ values for UQ$_s$ higher in the QA and QB sites relative to the aqueous solution (4, 10–12). A shift in $E_m$ requires that the product semiquinone binds more tightly than the neutral reactant quinone (4). However, because semiquinones are not stable in solution, their affinity cannot be measured directly by titration. Previous studies measuring the semiquinone lifetime in the binding site have shown that the anionic semiquinone dissociates more slowly than the neutral quinone (13, 14). As long as $k_{on}$ slows less than $k_{off}$, the semiquinone $K_d$ would be tighter than the neutral, in agreement with the $E_m$ being higher in the protein.

Hydroxyl quinones at pH values above their $pK_a$ values are stable anionic inhibitors at the QA site whose association and dissociation rates can be directly measured. The work presented here measures the association rate constants for functional neutral and anionic hydroxyl quinones at the QA site of RCs from Rb. sphaeroides. The binding mechanism is determined, and the correlation of affinity with the dissociation rate is compared. Parallels between binding the anionic hydroxyl quinones and the semiquinone formed in the QA site by the electron-transfer reaction are explored.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

RC Isolation and Activity. Rb. sphaeroides polyhistidine-tagged RCs were isolated as described previously (15). The RCs were purified on Ni-NTA (nitrilotriacetic acid) resin. The Ni-NTA column was washed with 0.05% LDAO at 10 mM Tris buffer, and the RCs were eluted with 40 mM imidazole in 0.05% LDAO at pH 8. Q, removal (10, 16) yielded RCs with less than 10% of the native ubiquinone left in the QA site and empty QB sites. The RC concentration was determined given ε802 = 0.288 μM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. A 10 μs xenon flash excited the ground-state RCs and formed the charge-separated state, P⁺QA⁻ (RCQ⁺). A photomultiplier tube monitored the P⁺ signal at 430 nm. The concentration of RCQ was obtained from the initial amplitude change found before quinone addition ≈100 μs after the flash obtained given ε430 = 8.69 × 10³ OD/M.

Determining QA-Binding Affinity. The amplitude of the ΔA₄₃₀ is directly proportional to the RCQ concentration (eq 1)

\[ RCQ = \left( \frac{∆A - ∆A_{min}}{∆A_{max} - ∆A_{min}} \right) RC_T \]

where RC_T is the total RC concentration. ∆A_min is the flash-induced amplitude change found before quinone addition because of the 5–10% residual ubiquinone-10 left in the QA site. ∆A_max is the amplitude when all RCs have bound a functional quinone. The best fit for the dissociation constant (K_d) was determined from eq 2 using the dependence of ∆A₄₃₀ on the total quinone concentration (Q_T) using the Levenberg–Marquardt fitting program in IGOR Pro (WaveMetrics)

\[ ∆A(Q_T) = \frac{∆A_{max} - ∆A_{min}}{2RC_T} \left[ K_d + Q_T + RC_T - \sqrt{-4Q_T RC_T + (K_d + Q_T + RC_T)^2} \right] \]

Eight neutral, active quinones [2-bromo-naphthoquinone (2-Br-NQ), 2,3-dimethyl-naphthoquinone (2,3-dMe-NQ), 2-methoxy-naphthoquinone (2-MeOx-NQ), 2-methyl-naphthoquinone (2-Me-NQ), tetramethyl-benzoquinone (duroquinone, DQ), 1,2-naphthoquinone (1,2-NQ), 1,4-naphthoquinone (1,4-NQ), and 2,3-dimethoxy-5-methyl-1,4-benzoquinone (UQ₀)] and five hydroxyl quinones [5-hydroxy-3-methyl-naphthoquinone (5-OH-3-Me-NQ), 5-hydroxy-naphthoquinone (5-OH-NQ), 2-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-naphthoquinone (2-OH-3-iso-NQ), 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-naphthoquinone (2-OH-3-Me-NQ), and 2-hydroxy-naphthoquinone (2-OH-NQ)] purchased from Sigma were studied.

Hydroxyl quinones at pH values above their pKₐ are anionic competitive inhibitors of the QA site. K_I values were determined by the ability of the inhibitors to displace the functional duroquinone (DQ) from the QA site, diminishing ∆A₄₃₀. The equilibrium amplitude of active RCs was determined as a function of the inhibitor concentration with 30 μM DQ (K_I = 0.4 μM), 1 μM RCs, 0.005% LDAO, and 10 mM buffer. Tris was used at pH 7.8, and Caps was used for measurements at pH 10.2. The K_I was obtained fitting eqs 3 and 4 with Mathematica 4.2. Here, RCQ is the concentration of duroquinone-bound RCs and RC is the concentration of hydroxyl quinone-bound RCs

\[ K_d = \frac{(RC_T - RCQ - RCI)(Q_T - RCQ)}{RCQ} \]

\[ K_I = \frac{(RC_T - RCQ - RCI)(I_T - RCI)}{RCI} \]

Determining Hydroxyl Quinone pKₐ Values in Solution. The pKₐ values for the five hydroxyl quinones were determined using the difference absorbance spectra of the ionized species relative to the neutral measured between 450 and 530 nm. Succinic acid (pH 3–5), Mes (pH 5.5–6.5), Tris (pH 7.5–8.5), Ches (pH 9–10), and Caps (pH 10.5–11.5) were used as buffers. The data were fit to eq 5, where ΔA is the absorbance relative to that found at pH 3 and ΔA_max is the absorbance at the pH 11 minus that at pH 3. The pKₐ values and wavelengths monitored for each quinone are listed in Table 2

\[ pA = pK_a + \log \left( \frac{QO}{QOH} \right) = pK_a + \log \left( \frac{A}{A_{max} - A} \right) \]

Quinone Association Rate Constants. The change in RCQ⁺ monitored by ΔA₄₃₀ following a second flash was used to derive the second-order association rate constant (k⁺a) for the active, neutral quinones (see the caption of Figure 1 and the Supporting Information for a more complete description of the model). The sample has 0.9–1.1 μM RC, 10 mM Tris, and 0.005% LDAO at pH 8, and the QA site is empty. The small concentration of RCs with ubiquinone-10 was subtracted from the total RC concentration to accurately reflect the number of available QA sites. The additional RCQ⁺ found on the second flash was determined at flash intervals of 50, 100, and 200 ms. The rate of reforming the ground state from RCQ⁺ (k₋a) was determined from an exponential fit to the charge recombination kinetics in RCs saturated with added quinone after subtraction of the contribution of the UQ-10-containing RCs. The signal was averaged 10 times. The data were fit with model A in the Supporting Information.

The association rate of the slower binding hydroxyl quinones was measured from the loss of DQ activity with time. The RC concentration was 1 μM with 30 μM DQ. The hydroxyl quinone concentration was varied from 7 to 300 μM. The time-dependent DQ activity was measured at 0.5 to 1 min intervals until equilibrium was reached and there was no additional change in the RCQ⁺ formed by a flash.

Viscosity Dependence of k₋a. The second-order association rate constant (k₋a) is predicted to be inversely proportional to the solvent viscosity (eq 6)

\[ k_{-a} = \frac{A + B\eta/\eta_0}{\eta} \]

k₋ₐν and k₋ₐν are the association rate constants and η₀ and η are the solvent viscosity in the absence and presence of the viscosity modifier, respectively. A and B are fitting parameters, where A = 0 and B = 1 for an ideal in the case for an ideal diffusion-limited interaction. The solvent was modified by adding 10–60% (w/w) glycerol. The values η₀ and η were taken from the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (18).
occupied (RCQ) and empty (RC) concentrations were chosen so there is a mixture of RCs with measured by a double-flash method (Figure 1). Quinone charge-separated P is transformed into the charge-separated state (RCQ) with semiquinone bound at the Q3 site, depleting RCQ to (1 - λ)RCQeq, where λ is the fraction of RCs that absorb a photon on a flash (see the Supporting Information). Now, the association rate is faster than the dissociation, koff[RCF][Q] ≫ koff[RCQeq]. (3) RCQ is reformed by association of RCF and Qc and by charge recombination from RCQeq at kAP. As these two processes take place, a second flash measures the additional RCQ formed because of binding. When charge recombination is complete, the initial equilibrium concentrations are restored (1); therefore, a second flash now generates as much RCQeq as the first. (b) Concentration of RC populations as a function of time given λ = 1. At the time of the first flash (t = 0), all RCQeq is transformed into the charge-separated state (RCQeq); thus, [RCQ] = 0 and [RCQeq] = [RCQeq]. Net association of RCF and Qc yields RCQeq-depleting RCc. Simultaneously, charge recombination reforms RCQ from RCQeq at kAP. When charge recombination is complete, [RCQ] = [RCQeq], and [RCQeq] = 0. A second flash monitors how [RCQeq] changes with time. For this simulation, the Q3 sites are 50% saturated, [RCQeq] = [RCF] = 1/2[RC], and [Qc] = 1/2[RC] + [Kd]. Kd = 0.6 μM, RCc = 1.0 M, koff = 8 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1, and kAP = 3.5 s^-1.

RESULTS

Measuring Binding Kinetics of Active, Neutral Benzo- and Naphthoquinones. The association rate (koff) measured by a double-flash method (Figure 1). Quinone concentrations were chosen so there is a mixture of RCs with occupied (RCQ) and empty (RCF) Qα-binding sites. Because there was no indication of Qβ activity, the Qβ site is assumed to be empty. The sample starts off at equilibrium with the quinone freely associating and dissociating from the protein with rates koff and kD (Figure 1a). The first flash forms the charge-separated P1Qα^- state (RCQeq) from RCQ, depleting RCQeq and leaving RCF unchanged. Because Qα^- dissociates much more slowly than Qλ (J3), the system is no longer at equilibrium. This depletion of RCQ causes association to be much faster than dissociation (koff[RCF] ≫ koff[RCQeq]). Thus, additional RCQ is formed, denoted RCQbind, which can be detected by a second actinic flash. Simultaneously, charge recombination within the RCQeq state reforms RCQ at kAP, moving the system back toward equilibrium (see the Supporting Information for a detailed description). Once charge recombination is complete, the equilibrium concentrations are restored.

The double-flash measurements were carried out at increasing quinone concentrations (Figure 2). The second flash, delivered 50, 100, and 200 ms after the first, generates additional RCQ (RCQbind). The extra amplitude depends on the time delay, the quinone concentration, light saturation, and kAP, all of which can be determined independently and on the association rate constant (koff). The amplitude of the second flash initially increases (when association is the dominant process) and then goes back to the value of the first flash as the delay time becomes longer (Figure 1). RCQbind is always small. When kD is smaller than the RC concentration at subsaturating quinone, there is little Qβ left to bind. The decay back to the ground state at kAP provides a short window for observation. In addition, the subsaturating flash means that RCQ is not completely depleted on the first flash. Under conditions used here, RCQbind is less than 10% of the total RCs. However, qualitatively, formation of RCQ by association between flashes can be seen in the tighter apparent affinity of RC for quinone on the second flash (Figure 2). The koff yielding the set of curves that best overall fit to the concentration dependence at the three delay times is determined. Duroquinone (DQ) with a Koff of 0.40 μM and kAP of 3.4 s^-1 has a koff of 5.5 ± 1.5 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1 and a koff of 3.3 s^-1 (given koff = koffKd). The koff values for 2,3-dimethoxy-5-methyl BQ (UQ) and seven tailless 1,4-naphthoquinones range from 10^-5 to 10^7 M^-1 s^-1, while koff varies from 0.2 to 6 s^-1 (Table 1).

Measuring Binding Kinetics for Inactive, Anionic Hydroxyl Naphthoquinones. The pKd values for the hydroxyl quinones

---

**Figure 1:** Double-flash assay used to measure the fast binding kinetics of the active neutral quinones. (a) (1) Initially, the sample is at equilibrium with koff[RCF][Qc] = koff[RCQeq]. [RCQeq] is the equilibrium concentration of RCs with bound quinone given [RCF] and [Qc]. (2) First flash initiates electron transfer forming the charge-separated state (RCQeq) with semiquinone bound at the Q3 site, depleting RCQ to (1 - λ)RCQeq, where λ is the fraction of RCs that absorb a photon on a flash (see the Supporting Information). Now, the association rate is faster than the dissociation, koff[RCF][Qc] ≫ koff[RCQeq]. (3) RCQ is reformed by association of RCF and Qc and by charge recombination from RCQeq at kAP. As these two processes take place, a second flash measures the additional RCQ formed because of binding. When charge recombination is complete, the initial equilibrium concentrations are restored (1); therefore, a second flash now generates as much RCQeq as the first. (b) Concentration of RC populations as a function of time given λ = 1. At the time of the first flash (t = 0), all RCQeq is transformed into the charge-separated state (RCQeq); thus, [RCQ] = 0 and [RCQeq] = [RCQeq]. Net association of RCF and Qc yields RCQeq-depleting RCc. Simultaneously, charge recombination reforms RCQ from RCQeq at kAP. When charge recombination is complete, [RCQ] = [RCQeq], and [RCQeq] = 0. A second flash monitors how [RCQeq] changes with time. For this simulation, the Q3 sites are 50% saturated, [RCQeq] = [RCF] = 1/2[RC], and [Qc] = 1/2[RC] + [Kd]. Kd = 0.6 μM, RCc = 1.0 μM, koff = 8 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1, and kAP = 3.5 s^-1.

**Figure 2:** Concentration of quinone-bound RCs (RCQ = RCQeq + RCQbind) as a function of the DQ concentration (Qc) is plotted for the first flash (black) and at delay times for the second flash of t = 50 ms (red), t = 100 ms (green), and t = 200 ms (blue). For time t = 0, RCQbind is zero (RCQ = RCQeq). The data are corrected for 10% residual ubisemiquinone-10 at Qc and for light saturation, λ, of 85%. The solid lines are solutions to model A (see the Supporting Information), with a koff of 5.5 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1, Kd of 0.4 μM, and kAP of 3.4 s^-1. Conditions: 0.93 μM RCs in 10 mM Tris at pH 7.8 and LDAO = 0.005% at room temperature.
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Table 1: Binding Rates and Affinities for Neutral Quinones at the QA Site of RCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>quinone</th>
<th>$K_d$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{on} \times 10^{-6}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{off}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{AP}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UQ$_h$</td>
<td>86 ± 16</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,2-NQ</td>
<td>13 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,4-NQ</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-MeOx-NQ</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2-Me-NQ</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DQ</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,3-dMe-NQ</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2-Br-NQ</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers are used as labels in Figure 8. * $K_d$ was obtained from the amount of RCQ obtained after a single flash as a function of the concentration of added quinone (eq 1). * $k_{on}$ was measured with the double flash assay (as shown for DQ, Figure 2). * $k_{off}$ is derived from $K_d = k_{off}/k_{on}$. * $k_{AP}$ is the QA$^\cdot$ to P$^\cdot$ charge recombination rate constant.

Table 2: Binding Rates and Affinities for Anionic Hydroxyl Quinone at the QA Site of RCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>quinone</th>
<th>pH$^b$</th>
<th>$K_r$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{on} \times 10^2$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{off} \times 10^4$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$pK_a$</th>
<th>wavelength$^d$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2-OH-NQ</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.0$^r$</td>
<td>3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5-OH-NQ</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2-OH-3-Iso-NQ</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5-OH-2-Me-NQ</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5-OH-3-Me-NQ</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers are used as labels in Figure 8. * $K_r$ values and first-order association and dissociation rate constants measured at a pH above the hydroxyl group p$K_a$. * $K_r$ is obtained from the concentration dependence of the equilibrium DQ activity on the inhibitor concentration. * Wavelength used to measure absorbance difference between neutral and ionized hydroxyl quinone to determine the p$K_a$ in aqueous solution. * Measured above 25 µM, where $k_{on}$ is independent of the quinone concentration (Figure 5). * ND, dissociation of 2-OH-3-Me could not be detected (Figure 6).

range from 4.0 to 9.4 (Table 2). Above their p$K_a$, none of these quinones reconstitutes QA activity. Their binding is measured by their competition with the active DQ. The $K_i$ values, at pH values above their p$K_a$ values, vary from 0.04 to 5 µM. The neutral 5-OH-2-Me-NQ does bind rapidly and will reconstitute QA activity (manuscript in preparation). Measurement of the association of neutral 5-OH-2-Me-NQ is complicated because the QA site downshifts the p$K_a$; therefore, the bound quinone is not fully protonated even at pH 7.2.

The p$K_a$ of 5-OH-2-Me-NQ is 9.4. At pH 10.2, the ionized species is a competitive inhibitor at the QA site. Its slow association rate was determined from the time-dependent loss of QA activity (Figure 3a). In a sample with 70–80% of the QA sites occupied by DQ, 90–300 µM 5-OH-2-Me-NQ was added. Activity is lost as DQ is displaced from the QA site with the system reaching equilibrium in 6 to 10 min (Figure 3a). The dependence of the equilibrium DQ activity on the inhibitor concentration provides a $K_i$ of 5 ± 3 µM (Table 2).

To determine the reversibility of binding, the dissociation rate constant, $k_{off}$, was measured directly from the time-dependent increase of activity when DQ added to a sample is pre-equilibrated with the hydroxyl quinone. Ionized 5-OH-2-Me NQ displays completely reversible binding with a $k_{off}$ of 1.7 × 10$^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 3b).

Determination of the Binding Mechanism. The anionic hydroxyl quinones bind in minutes, much slower than the 10$^3$–10$^4$ s$^{-1}$ expected for a diffusion-dominated process. They bind at least 1000 times slower than the neutral quinones with comparable $K_d$ values (Tables 1 and 2). The quinone concentration dependence of the rate constants can clarify whether the rate-limiting step is first or second order. For a second-order rate-determining step, the association rate constant $k_{on}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) is independent of the quinone concentration, while the apparent first-order rate constant $k_{uni}$ (s$^{-1}$) is a linear function of the concentration with $k_{uni} = k_{off}/[Q]$ at $t = 0$ to 1 µM RCs with 30 µM DQ. The line is the best fit to the first-order binding model E (see the Supporting Information) with a $k_{off}$ of 1.7 × 10$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, (b) Restoration of DQ-dependent activity following the addition of 30 µM DQ to 1 µM RCs preincubated with 160 µM 5-OH-2-Me-NQ for 30 min at pH 10.2. The solid line is the best fit to model C (see the Supporting Information) with a $k_{off}$ of 2.0 × 10$^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$.

FIGURE 3: Time dependence of DQ-dependent activity in the presence of 5-OH-2-Me NQ at pH 10.2. (a) Loss of activity following the addition of 160 µM 5-OH-2-Me-NQ at $t = 0$ to 1 µM RCs with 30 µM DQ. The line is the best fit to the first-order binding model E (see the Supporting Information) with a $k_{off}$ of 1.7 × 10$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. (b) Restoration of DQ-dependent activity following the addition of 30 µM DQ to 1 µM RCs preincubated with 160 µM 5-OH-2-Me-NQ for 30 min at pH 10.2. The solid line is the best fit to model C (see the Supporting Information) with a $k_{off}$ of 2.0 × 10$^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$.
k_{on}, and with a first-order model (D in the Supporting Information), providing $k_{uni}$. The $k_{on}$ for DQ measured in this way gives a mean value of $6.9 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 4a) in reasonable agreement with $5.5 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ found by a global fit of the data (Figure 2). The neutral quinone $k_{on}$ values are concentration-independent, as shown for DQ (Figure 4a), indicating a second-order rate-determining step. As expected, $k_{uni}$ is a linear function of the quinone concentration. The slope of the concentration dependence of $k_{uni}$ for DQ is $2.4 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 4b), which is in reasonable agreement with the measured $k_{on}$ (Figure 2). The y intercept (Figure 4b) is not in good agreement with the $k_{off}$ obtained from $K_d/k_{on}$ (Table 1). For example, 2-Me-NQ has a negative y intercept (data not shown). However, it is not unusual that this method of analysis does not usually provide accurate values for $k_{off}$ (20).

**Concentration Dependence of the Hydroxyl Quinone Association Rate.** The association data for the slow binding anionic hydroxyl quinones (Figure 3) was fit with a second-order model (B in the Supporting Information) providing $k_{on}$ and with a first-order model (E in the Supporting Information) providing $k_{uni}$. 5-OH-NQ and 5-OH-2-Me-NQ show a first-order rate-determining step. For 5-OH-2-Me-NQ, $k_{uni}$ is concentration-independent (Figure 4d) with the average value of 0.017 s$^{-1}$, while $k_{on}$ depends on [Q$_1$]$^{-1}$ (Figure 4c). The $k_{uni}$ derived from fitting the concentration dependence of the observed second-order rate ($k_{on}$) is 0.008 s$^{-1}$ in reasonable agreement with the directly determined value.

Both 5-OH quinones show completely reversible binding that is consistent with a first-order rate-determining step. Thus, $k_{on}$ can be determined by the loss of activity after the quinone is added to RCs reconstituted with DQ (Figure 3a), while $k_{off}$ can be determined from the restoration of activity when DQ is added to RCs preincubated with the hydroxyl quinone (Figure 3b). The same $K_t$ is derived from the concentration dependence of the long time asymptote found in both measurements and is consistent with the value determined from $k_{uni}/k_{off}$.

The three quinones with an orthohydroxyl group present data that is more difficult to interpret. The apparent second-order rate constant ($k_{on}^{obs}$) for 2-OH-NQ fits a model similar to 5-OH-2-Me-NQ (Figure 4c) with $k_{on}^{obs} = 900$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ + 0.05 s$^{-1}$/[Q$_1$] (Figure 5a), suggesting that the rate-determining step is first-order. On the other hand, the observed rate ($k_{obs}^{uni}$) of 2-OH-NQ is quinone concentration-dependent at low concentrations, becoming independent at higher concentrations (Figure 5b). This indicates that the rate-determin-
where at high concentrations. Thus, the reaction cannot proceed faster than the first-order step, which is rate-limiting concentration-dependent (Figure 5b). However, the reaction cannot proceed faster than the first-order step, which is rate-limiting at high concentrations. Thus, $k_{\text{off}}^{\text{obs}} = k_{\text{off}}/[1 + K_{d}/[Q])$, where $k_{\text{off}}$ is the true rate constant for the first-order step and $K_{d}$ is the dissociation constant for the second-order process (Figure 5b). Fitting the data to this model yields $k_{\text{off}}$ = 0.089 s$^{-1}$(1 + 4.5/[Q]), the experimental conditions are 1 μM RCs in 10 mM Tris and 0.005% LDAO at pH 7.8.

If DQ is added to a sample pre-equilibrated with an anionic hydroxyl quinone, full activity can be recovered with 2-OH-NQ, 5-OH-NQ, and 5-OH-2-Me-NQ (Figure 3B). These quinones, the directly measured $k_{\text{off}}$ matches the value derived from $k_{\text{off}}K_{d}$. However, for 2-OH-3-Me-NQ, the addition of DQ does not restore any activity (Figure 6). This is consistent with $K_{d}$ being in the subnanomolar range.

There are no changes in RC spectra that would indicate significant changes in the structure because of incubation with 2-OH-3-Me-NQ. Similar measurements with 2-OH-3-Iso-NQ show that only 50% of the activity is recovered and $k_{\text{off}}K_{d}$ is slower than $k_{\text{on}}K_{d}$, indicating that the dissociation mechanism is not just the reverse of association.

**Viscosity Dependence of $k_{\text{on}}$.** For a second-order rate-determining step, diffusion plays a dominant role; thus, the rate constant is predicted to be inversely proportional to the solvent viscosity ($\eta$) (22). Using glycerol as a solvent modifier, the viscosity dependence of $k_{\text{on}}$ for DQ and 2-Me-NQ was determined (Figure 7a). The dashed lines represent the ideal diffusion controlled reaction, where the product $k_{\text{on}}\eta$ is constant (eq 6). The $k_{\text{on}}$ values for these two neutral quinones have strong viscosity dependence (Figure 7a), consistent with a second-order rate-determining step. For DQ, the association rate becomes slower than expected as the viscosity increases, because $k_{\text{on}}$ is above the dashed line in Figure 7a. The reason for this is not clear, but it may be due to solvent osmotic pressure, which has been observed previously when glycerol is used as a solvent modifier (17). On the other hand, the second-order rate constants ($k_{\text{on}}$) for the anionic 5-OH-2-Me-NQ and 2-OH-NQ are independent of viscosity (Figure 7b), supporting a first-order rate-determining step for the hydroxy$^{-}$quinones.

**Ionic Strength Dependence of $k_{\text{on}}$ and $k_{\text{off}}$.** Binding kinetics were measured at NaCl concentrations of 0–300 mM. Ionic strength will affect the binding rate if electrostatic interactions between RCs and quinone are important for the rate-determining step. Neither $k_{\text{on}}$, for the neutral quinones, nor $k_{\text{off}}$, for the anionic quinones, depend on the ionic strength (data not shown). Thus, electrostatic interactions do not govern the rate-determining step. For the hydroxyl quinones, this is further evidence of a first-order rate-determining step because solution counterions would be expected to shield electrostatic interactions between the anionic inhibitor and the RCs.

**Comparing Binding Rates and Equilibrium Affinity.** The $K_{d}$ values for the fast binding neutral quinones and the $K_{f}$ values for the slow binding hydroxyls are in the same range (0.1–90 μM), yet their dissociation rates differ by $10^{-3}$–$10^{3}$ fold (Figure 8a). For the neutral quinones, the association rate ($k_{\text{on}}$) correlates with $K_{d}$; thus, an increase in binding strength is predominately due to faster association (Figure 8b). 2-MeOx-NQ deviates from this trend because its $k_{\text{on}}$ is
slower than expected given its $K_d$. Methoxy substituents lower the quinone partition coefficient, preferring the aqueous phase, which can increase the energy barrier for association (9). The Q$_A$ site interacts strongly with the methoxy group, keeping the $K_d$ tight despite the association barrier.

**DISCUSSION**

The comparison of binding neutral and anionic quinones to the Q$_A$ site of RCs show that the negatively charged hydroxyl quinones dissociate about 10$^4$ times more slowly than neutral quinones with comparable $K_d$ values (Figure 8a). In addition, these quinones have different mechanisms for binding. The linear dependence of the apparent first-order rate constant ($k_{app}$) on the quinone concentration shows that the rate-determining step for the neutral quinones is second order (Figure 4) (17, 23, 24). The dependence of $K_d$ on the second-order $k_{app}$ shows that the association rate plays the dominant role in controlling affinity (Figure 8). The solvent viscosity dependence indicates this is a primarily diffusion-controlled process (Figure 7). The measured rate constants of these quinones ($10^5$ to $10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) are typical of the association of large proteins with small ligands, where only a small fraction of the protein surface can form an active encounter complex (25, 26).

The anionic hydroxyl quinones are slow binding inhibitors at the Q$_A$ site. In addition to binding tightly, many transition-state analogues bind slowly, indicating that there are large barriers for binding these high-energy reaction intermediates (27–33). While slow kinetics has been observed in systems with a single-step, second-order association mechanism (30, 31), this is not a good description of the association of anionic hydroxyl quinones with the Q$_A$ site. The concentration independence of $k_{uni}$ (Figure 4) and solvent viscosity independence of the second-order rate constant $k_{app}$ (Figure 7) supports a first-order rate-determining step for these quinones. This requires a two-step binding process, for which there are two possible paths (Scheme 1). In one (P$_1$), unbound reaction centers exist in an equilibrium mixture of RC$_F$ and RC$_F^*$. RC$_F^*$, which has very low equilibrium occupancy binds rapidly and tightly to the anionic inhibitor, I$_F^-$. Here, the rate-determining step is the slow conformational change from RC$_F$ to RC$_F^*$. Along P$_2$, an initial encounter complex, RCI$, is formed rapidly. This is followed by the slow change from RCI to RCI$^*$, the more thermodynamically stable complex. The RCI complex samples many conformational states until the one that can best stabilize the anion is found. Protein rearrangement as the source of slow binding kinetics is commonly observed for enzyme inhibition (34, 35). Both
pathways have been found in studies of other proteins (27, 35). In either case, the measured $K_d$ values reflect the overall affinity for formation of RCI$^-$ from RCF$^-$ and I$^-$. The quinone concentration and solvent viscosity dependence of the rate establish the order of the rate-determining step but cannot distinguish between P$_1$ and P$_2$. However, the correlation between $k_{	ext{off}}$, $k_{	ext{att}}$, and $K_a$ can provide some clues. P$_2$ should show an initial burst phase of inhibition because of the rapid formation of RCI$^-$ before the slow isomerization to form RCI$^+. This is not observed but cannot be ruled out given the difficulty of obtaining early time measurements. The amplitude of the burst would be dependent on the affinity of I$^-$ for RCF$^-$, which may be low. Along P$_1$, the slow conformational rearrangements only involve RCF$^-$ and here, the anionic hydroxyl quinones bind rapidly to the high-energy configuration (RCF$^-$). Because RCF$^-$ has a low equilibrium occupancy, the overall association rate is slow. The inhibitor affinity depends on the rate at which the RCI$^-$ changes back to the low-affinity RCI$^$. A similar mechanism was used to describe the results for the slow-onset inhibition of yeast AMP deaminase (27).

The binding of 5-OH-NQ and 5-OH-3-Me-NQ show a first-order rate-determining step, indicating that quinone association is too fast to be observed. On the other hand, the binding of 2-OH-NQ shows kinetic evidence for both steps in formation of RCI$^-$. Here, the second-order process is rate-limiting at low quinone concentrations, while the first-order process becomes rate-limiting at higher concentrations (Figure 5).

2-OH-3-Me binds irreversibly to the Q$_A$ site (Figure 6). Slow irreversible binding could imply the formation of a covalent bond in the active site (36) or that the encounter complex denatures at a rate faster than dissociation. No change in the RC near-IR absorbance spectra is found after incubation with this quinone; thus, denaturation is unlikely. The observed irreversible binding could also indicate that the affinity is in the subnanomolar range. Adding a methyl group at the 3 position would need to increase the affinity of 2-OH-NQ ($K_i = 0.1\mu M$) by at least 100-fold to keep DQ from displacing it under the experimental conditions employed here. The neutral diortho-substituted 2,3-dMe-NQ does bind $\approx 6$-fold more tightly than the monosubstituted 2-Me-NQ (Table 1), showing that the addition of an orthomethyl group tightens binding. In contrast, 2-OH-3-Iso-NQ ($K_i = 4.2\mu M$) binds more weakly than the monosubstituted 2-OH-NQ (Table 2). However, it is likely that the branched isopropyl group weakens affinity (Guner, unpublished results). Continuum electrostatic calculations do indicate that ionized 2-OH-3-Me-NQ binds 40-fold tighter than 2-OH-NQ (manuscript in preparation).

Anionic Hydroxyl Quinones as Models for the Semiquinone. Previous studies of difference FTIR (37, 38), electrochromic shifts following Q$_A^-$ formation (39), $E_m$ shifts in replacement compounds (40), and mutational analysis (41, 42) indicate that it is the negative charge on the quinone that causes the protein response following formation of Q$_A^-$. Thus, even though the anionic hydroxyl quinones are not radicals, they can serve as models for the study of semiquinone binding. The results presented here show that a negative charge causes slow binding kinetics at the Q$_A$ site. At pH 7.8, neutral 5-OH-2-Me-NQ with a $pK_a$ of 9.4 is a fast binding neutral compound that can reconstitute Q$_A$ activity (manuscript in preparation), while at high pH, the anionic quinone dissociates slowly. Semiquinones dissociate slowly from the Q$_A$ and Q$_B$ sites (14). Ferrocene, an external electron donor of P$_B^+$, creates RCs in the state PQ$_A^-$. For a number of tailless semiquinones in the Q$_A$ site, this state is trapped for seconds (13). Semiquinone disappearance was attributed to the accessibility of external oxidants, and preliminary results indicate that in O$_2$-depleted samples the semiquinone lifetime increases (data not shown). These results put an upper limit on semiquinone $k_{	ext{off}}$ of $0.5\ s^{-1}$ for DQ$^-$, 1000-fold faster than the anionic hydroxyl quinones but 10-fold slower than the neutral DQ (Table 1). Thus, the slower dissociation of the hydroxyl quinones could provide a better limit for the semiquinone lifetime in the absence of external oxidants.

The observed rate of anionic hydroxyl quinone binding is slow. Physiologically, slow conformational changes cannot be required for formation of the Q$_A^-$. which accepts an electron from bacteriopheophytin in 150 ps (43). However, relaxation stabilizes the RCQ$^\pm$ charge-separated state once it is formed (44–48). Events such as proton uptake, internal charge transfer, and the reorganization of internal dipoles have been proposed to stabilize Q$_A^-$. (49–51). Furthermore, changes in a cluster of acidic residues near the Q$_B$ site help stabilize Q$_A^-$. (52, 53), perhaps though shifts in their ionization (50).

The relative affinity of quinone and semiquinone for the Q$_A$ site determines how the in situ $E_m$ differs from that found in solution (Figure 9). While it is difficult to measure $E_m$ values for single-electron reductions of quinone in water, some have been estimated (9, 54, 53). The $E_m$ for UQ$^+$-UQ$^-\approx -145\ mV$ (4, 56, 57), while it is $-45$ (58) to $-75\ mV$ (59) in the Q$_A$ site at pH 7. This $70$–$100\ mV$ $E_m$ shift indicates the semiquinone binds 15–45 times more tightly than the quinone. Inhibitor binding at the Q$_A$ site also shows that the semiquinone binds more tightly than the quinone or dihydroquinone (14). The work presented here allows for the comparison of the relative affinity of neutral and anionic quinones. The $k_{	ext{off}}$ values for the anionic hydroxyl quinones are $10^4$ times slower than the neutral compounds (Figure 8a). If the semiquinone off rate slowed this much with no change in association kinetics, this would correspond to an $E_m$ shift of $+240\ mV$. However, the results presented here show that anionic compounds also associate slowly. The anionic hydroxyl and neutral quinones have different binding

### Scheme 1: Two Pathways (P$_1$ and P$_2$) for the Binding of Anionic Hydroxyl Quinones with a Slow First-Order Rate-Determining Step ($k_{\text{off}}$)

- $\text{RC}_F + I^- \rightarrow \text{RCI}^-$
- $\text{RC}_F + \text{I}^- \rightarrow \text{P}_2$
- $\text{Slow} \ (k_{\text{off}} < k_{\text{att}})$
mechanisms; therefore, their association rate constants cannot be directly compared. However, a comparison of the rate of formation of the bound complex at 50% saturation shows that the average $k_{on}$ for the neutral quinones is in the range of $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table 1), while, using 5-OH-2-Me-NQ as an example, $k_{on}/[Q]$ is $3500$ to $5500$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Thus, the anionic quinones associate $180$ to $2800$ times more slowly, while $k_{off}$ slows by $10^4$ compared to the neutral quinones. Assuming the same shift in the binding kinetics of the quinone and semiquinone predicts a ~$33$ to $100$ mV increase in $E_m$, in good agreement with the measured $E_m$ shift. Because there is no free semiquinone in either membrane or solution, slow semiquinone association would not affect RC activity. The slow $k_{on}$ helps preserve the unstable, high-energy semiquinone $Q^\cdot\cdot\cdot^-$, minimizing energy loss and free-radical damage. This analysis assumes that all anionic quinones are stabilized by the same amount. The $E_m$ shift moving from the aprotic solvent dimethylformamide (60) to the QA site is not the same for all compounds, indicating that specific protein interactions can also play an important role (10, 40).

The measured $K_d$ values for the neutral and anionic hydroxyl quinone (Tables 1 and 2) reflect the free-energy difference of the quinone in solution and in the QA site. There is a loss of solvation energy incurred by transferring the quinone from the high dielectric environment of water ($\varepsilon = 80$) into the low dielectric protein and loss of motional degrees of freedom in the binding site (4). Charged compounds interact with water more favorably than neutral ones. Thus, the anionic hydroxyl quinones need to have additional favorable interactions with the protein to have $K_d$ values that are similar to the neutral quinones. The partition coefficient ($P$) provides a quantitative measure of the energy of transferring the quinone out of water and into a noninteracting solvent such as cyclohexane ($\Delta G_{\text{trans}} = 2.3RT \log P$) (61). While some neutral quinones have measured partition coefficients (9, 62), anionic quinones do not. The difference in the partition coefficient ($\Delta \log P$) of ionized and neutral forms of 5-OH-NQ in octanol was estimated with a fragment-based method (www.molinspiration.com) (63, 64). Octanol is a more polar solvent than cyclohexane; thus, this provides a lower limit of the free energy for transferring the anionic quinone from water. The $\Delta \log P$ was 2.2 corresponding to a $\Delta \Delta G_{\text{trans}}$ of $-3$ kcal/mol (130 meV). Previous electrostatic calculations have shown that the protein interacts $-2.5$ kcal/mol more favorably with $Q_{\alpha^-}$ compared to $Q_{\alpha}$, corresponding to a 72-fold tighter $K_d$ favoring anion binding (4). Favorable interactions with protein side chains, the backbone dipoles, and the non-heme iron were found to stabilize anion binding.

Anthraquinone (AQ) and substituted derivatives have smaller $E_m$ shifts from solution values in the QA site than benzo- and napththoquinones (10). These semiquinones should therefore be more weakly bound relative to the neutral quinone and could show faster dissociation rates. Preliminary results using the double-flash method with 1-CI-AQ and 2-CI-AQ showed that the amplitude decreases rather than increases on the second flash, consistent with semiquinone dissociating between flashes. These low-potential quinones have fast charge recombination kinetics making it difficult to model the binding kinetics on the same time scale as the other neutral quinones in this study.
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