

Model Theory and Rational Dynamics

Alice Medvedev

University of Illinois at Chicago

Mathematics Colloquium

Macomb, IL

October 29, 2009

This is a story about

- **Dynamical systems** $F : X \rightarrow X$.
- Difference equations.
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- **The definable structure on the solution sets of $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them, are intimately related to the dynamical properties of $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.**

This is a story about

- **Algebraic** dynamical systems $F : X \rightarrow X$, where X is a variety and F is a morphism of varieties.
- Difference equations.
 - $K \geq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- **The definable structure on the solution sets of $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them, are intimately related to the dynamical properties of $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.**

This is a story about

- **Coordinate-wise polynomial** dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n
 $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$
for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.
- Difference equations.
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism
such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- **The definable structure on the solution sets of**
 $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, **and definable relations between them,**
are intimately related to the dynamical properties of
 $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.

This is a story about

- Coordinate-wise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n
 $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$
for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.
- **Difference equations** $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, where
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- The definable structure on the solution sets of $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them, are intimately related to the dynamical properties of $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.

This is a story about

- Coordinate-wise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n
 $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$
for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.
- **Difference equations** $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, where
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- The definable structure on the solution sets of $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them, are intimately related to the dynamical properties of $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.

This is a story about

- Coordinate-wise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n
 $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$
for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.
- **Difference equations** $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, where
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism
such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- The definable structure on the solution sets of
 $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them,
are intimately related to the dynamical properties of
 $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.

This is a story about

- Coordinate-wise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n
 $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ where $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$
for some polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.
- Difference equations. $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, where
 - $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a huge field and $f_i \in K[x]$
 - $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ is a generic field automorphism
such that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}|_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- **The definable structure on the solution sets of $\sigma(x) = f_i(x)$, and definable relations between them, are intimately related to the dynamical properties of $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$.**

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subsets $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are **F -invariant**, i.e. $F(V) \subset V$?
- What do the **orbits** of F look like?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated.
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What **subvarieties** $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- What do the orbits of F look like?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated.
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subvarieties $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- What **algebraic** relations hold on an F -orbit of $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated.
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subvarieties $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- For what $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ does $Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0$ for all m ?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated.
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subvarieties $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- For what $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ does $Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0$ for all m ?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated:
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subvarieties $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- For what $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ does $Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0$ for all m ?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated:
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Algebraic dynamical properties

- What subvarieties $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are F -invariant: $F(V) \subset V$?
(subvariety = solution set of some polynomial equations)
- For what $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ does $Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0$ for all m ?

$$\mathcal{O}_F(a) := \{a, F(a), F(F(a)), \dots, F^{\circ n}(a), \dots\}$$

- These two questions are not unrelated:
 - If $a \in V$ and V is F -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_F(a) \subset V$.
 - The Zariski-closure V of an F -orbit is F -invariant.

$$V := \text{Zeros}(\{Q \mid \forall m Q(F^{\circ m}(a)) = 0\})$$

- If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Zhang's Conjecture

Question:

Conjecture (Zhang)

Let K be a number field and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a polarizable dynamical system over K . Then some point in $X(K^{\text{alg}})$ has a Zariski dense orbit.

If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Answer:

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

Coordinatewise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n have Zariski-dense orbits because they have very few invariant subvarieties, unless...

Zhang's Conjecture

Question:

Conjecture (Zhang)

Nice dynamical systems have Zariski-dense orbits.

If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Answer:

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

Coordinatewise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n have Zariski-dense orbits because they have very few invariant subvarieties, unless...

Zhang's Conjecture

Question:

Conjecture (Zhang)

Nice dynamical systems have Zariski-dense orbits.

If a does not lie on any F -invariant subvariety, then $\mathcal{O}_F(a)$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Answer:

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

Coordinatewise polynomial dynamical systems on \mathbb{C}^n have Zariski-dense orbits because they have very few invariant subvarieties, unless...

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{o4}(x_2) - f^{o7}(x_3))$
but
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- (($n-1$)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{o4}(x_2) - f^{o7}(x_3))$
but
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- (($n-1$)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{o4}(x_2) - f^{o7}(x_3))$
but
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- (($n-1$)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$
but
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- ((n-1)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$
but *essentially* binary!
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- (($n-1$)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$
but *essentially* binary!
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- ((n-1)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1))), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$
but *essentially* binary!
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
- ((n-1)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for **any** $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$.

Examples: (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant subvarieties.

- (unary) If $f(a) = a$, then $\text{Zeros}(x_i - a)$.
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - x_1)$ (diagonal)
- (binary) $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f)
- (ternary) $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$
but *essentially* binary!
- (really ternary) If $f(x) = x^3$, $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$.
Graph of group operation invariant under homomorphism.
- (($n-1$)ary) If $f(x) = x + 2$, for any $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$
 $\text{Zeros}(Q(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1))$: **F fixes $x_i - x_j$.**

Essential arity: fiber products

- A system S of polynomial equations in n variables is **essentially k -ary** if there are $A_i \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A_i| \leq k$ and polynomial systems S_i in variables from A_i such that $\text{Zeros}(S) = \text{Zeros}(\cup_i S_i)$. Then the set $\text{Zeros}(S)$ is a **fiber product** of the sets $\text{Zeros}(S_i)$.
- Ternary, essentially binary: (f, f, f) -invariant
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$.
- Ternary, essentially ternary (group):
 $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$ is (x^5, x^5, x^5) -invariant.
- Mysterious essentially ternary:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant.
- If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i , there are many essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

Essential arity: fiber products

- A system S of polynomial equations in n variables is **essentially k -ary** if there are $A_i \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A_i| \leq k$ and polynomial systems S_i in variables from A_i such that $\text{Zeros}(S) = \text{Zeros}(\cup_i S_i)$. Then the set $\text{Zeros}(S)$ is a **fiber product** of the sets $\text{Zeros}(S_i)$.
- Ternary, essentially binary: (f, f, f) -invariant
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$.
- Ternary, essentially ternary (group):
 $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$ is (x^5, x^5, x^5) -invariant.
- Mysterious essentially ternary:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant.
- If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i , there are many essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

Essential arity: fiber products

- A system S of polynomial equations in n variables is **essentially k -ary** if there are $A_i \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A_i| \leq k$ and polynomial systems S_i in variables from A_i such that $\text{Zeros}(S) = \text{Zeros}(\cup_i S_i)$. Then the set $\text{Zeros}(S)$ is a **fiber product** of the sets $\text{Zeros}(S_i)$.
- Ternary, essentially binary: (f, f, f) -invariant
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$.
- Ternary, essentially ternary (group):
 $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$ is (x^5, x^5, x^5) -invariant.
- Mysterious essentially ternary:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant.
- If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i , there are many essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

Essential arity: fiber products

- A system S of polynomial equations in n variables is **essentially k -ary** if there are $A_i \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A_i| \leq k$ and polynomial systems S_i in variables from A_i such that $\text{Zeros}(S) = \text{Zeros}(\cup_i S_i)$. Then the set $\text{Zeros}(S)$ is a **fiber product** of the sets $\text{Zeros}(S_i)$.
- Ternary, essentially binary: (f, f, f) -invariant
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$.
- Ternary, essentially ternary (group):
 $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$ is (x^5, x^5, x^5) -invariant.
- Mysterious essentially ternary:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant.
- If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i , there are many essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

Essential arity: fiber products

- A system S of polynomial equations in n variables is **essentially k -ary** if there are $A_i \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|A_i| \leq k$ and polynomial systems S_i in variables from A_i such that $\text{Zeros}(S) = \text{Zeros}(\cup_i S_i)$. Then the set $\text{Zeros}(S)$ is a **fiber product** of the sets $\text{Zeros}(S_i)$.
- Ternary, essentially binary: (f, f, f) -invariant
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 - f(f(x_1)), f^{\circ 4}(x_2) - f^{\circ 7}(x_3))$.
- Ternary, essentially ternary (group):
 $\text{Zeros}(x_1 x_2 - x_3)$ is (x^5, x^5, x^5) -invariant.
- Mysterious essentially ternary:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant.
- If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i , there are many essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties .

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- f **linearly related to** g : $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- f linearly related to g : $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- **f linearly related to g :** $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- f **linearly related to** g : $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- f **linearly related to** g : $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Linear change of variables.

- If $f_2 = \ell \circ f_1 \circ \ell^{-1}$ for a linear ℓ
 - $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
 - If V is (f_1, f_1) -invariant,
 $\{(\ell(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- f **linearly related to** g : $g = \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1}$ for some linear ℓ .
- If all f_i are linearly related to the same f ,
 F -invariant sets come from (f, f, \dots, f) -invariant ones.
- If $G = L \circ F \circ L^{-1}$ for linear $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$,
 V is F -invariant iff $L(V)$ is G -invariant.
 - If $f_i(x) = x$ for k distinct i s, many essentially k -ary
 G -invariant subvarieties.

Hiding a group.

previously seen:

$\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f) is (f, f) -invariant.

$\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is $(f, \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1})$ -invariant.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
 $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - g(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a unique
- Mystery explained:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:

Hiding a group.

previously seen:

$\text{Zeros}(x_2 - f(x_1))$ (graph of f) is (f, f) -invariant.

$\text{Zeros}(x_2 - \ell(x_1))$ is $(f, \ell \circ f \circ \ell^{-1})$ -invariant.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
 $\text{Zeros}(x_2 - g(x_1))$ is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a unique
- Mystery explained:
 $\text{Zeros}(x_3x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - 1)$ is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:

Hiding a group.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
Zeros($x_2 - g(x_1)$) is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a
unique **Chebyshev polynomial of degree n** .
- Mystery explained:
Zeros($x_3 x_2 x_1 - x_3 x_2 - 1$) is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:

Hiding a group.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
Zeros($x_2 - g(x_1)$) is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a
unique Chebyshev polynomial of degree n .
- Mystery explained:
Zeros($x_3x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - 1$) is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:

Hiding a group.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
Zeros($x_2 - g(x_1)$) is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a
unique Chebyshev polynomial of degree n .
- Mystery explained:
Zeros($x_3x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - 1$) is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:

Hiding a group.

- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$,
Zeros($x_2 - g(x_1)$) is (f_1, f_2) -invariant.
- If $f_2 \circ g = g \circ f_1$ and V is (f_1, f_3) -invariant, then
 $\{(g(a), b) \mid (a, b) \in V\}$ is (f_2, f_3) -invariant.
- The graph of $x_2 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$ is $(x^n, C_n(x))$ -invariant for a
unique Chebyshev polynomial of degree n .
- Mystery explained:
Zeros($x_3x_2x_1 - x_3x_2 - 1$) is $(x^3 - 3x, x^3, x^3)$ -invariant:
 $\{(a + \frac{1}{a}, b, c) \mid a = bc\}$ is (C_3, x^3, x^3) -invariant.

A trichotomy, toward classification.

Summarizing experimental observations:

- Any F admits unary and binary invariant subvarieties.
- If at least three f_i are linearly related to monomials of Chebyshevs all of the same degree, there are essentially ternary F -invariant subvarieties.
- If F is linearly related to G with at least k of the $g_i(x) = x$, there are essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

A trichotomy, toward classification.

Summarizing experimental observations:

- Any F admits unary and binary invariant subvarieties.
- If at least three f_i are linearly related to monomials of Chebyshevs all of the same degree, there are essentially ternary F -invariant subvarieties.
- If F is linearly related to G with at least k of the $g_i(x) = x$, there are essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

A trichotomy, toward classification.

Summarizing experimental observations:

- Any F admits unary and binary invariant subvarieties.
- If at least three f_i are linearly related to monomials of Chebyshevs all of the same degree, there are essentially ternary F -invariant subvarieties.
- If F is linearly related to G with at least k of the $g_i(x) = x$, there are essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

A trichotomy, toward classification.

Summarizing experimental observations:

- Any F admits unary and binary invariant subvarieties.
- If at least three f_i are linearly related to monomials of Chebyshevs all of the same degree, there are essentially ternary F -invariant subvarieties.
- If F is linearly related to G with at least k of the $g_i(x) = x$, there are essentially k -ary F -invariant subvarieties.

A trichotomy, toward classification.

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

All F -invariant subvarieties are essentially unary or binary, unless

- *at least three f_i are linearly related to monomials of Chebyshev polynomials all of the same degree, and then there are essentially ternary F -invariant subvarieties; or*
- *F is linearly related to G with at least k of the $g_i(x) = x$, and then there are essentially k -ary ones.*

We then classify n -ary essentially n -ary invariant subvarieties. This suffices for many purposes including Zhang's conjecture.

A generic field automorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$.

(K, σ) is a *difference-closed field*: systems of difference polynomial equations that have solutions in some extension of (K, σ) have a solution in (K, σ) .

- An F -invariant subvariety V is a definable relation amongst the sets $f_i^\sharp := \{a \mid \sigma(a) = f_i(a)\}$.
- Nonorthogonality: $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ if there is an essentially binary definable relation between f_i^\sharp and f_j^\sharp .
- If there is an essentially n -ary (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , then $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ for all $i, j \leq n$.
- Nonorthogonality is an equivalence relation.
- Degree is an invariant of nonorthogonality classes.

A generic field automorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$.

(K, σ) is a *difference-closed field*: systems of difference polynomial equations that have solutions in some extension of (K, σ) have a solution in (K, σ) .

- An F -invariant subvariety V is a definable relation amongst the sets $f_i^\sharp := \{a \mid \sigma(a) = f_i(a)\}$.
- Nonorthogonality: $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ if there is an essentially binary definable relation between f_i^\sharp and f_j^\sharp .
- If there is an essentially n -ary (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , then $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ for all $i, j \leq n$.
- Nonorthogonality is an equivalence relation.
- Degree is an invariant of nonorthogonality classes.

A generic field automorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$.

(K, σ) is a *difference-closed field*: systems of difference polynomial equations that have solutions in some extension of (K, σ) have a solution in (K, σ) .

- An F -invariant subvariety V is a definable relation amongst the sets $f_i^\sharp := \{a \mid \sigma(a) = f_i(a)\}$.
- Nonorthogonality: $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ if there is an essentially binary definable relation between f_i^\sharp and f_j^\sharp .
- If there is an essentially n -ary (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , then $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ for all $i, j \leq n$.
- Nonorthogonality is an equivalence relation.
- Degree is an invariant of nonorthogonality classes.

A generic field automorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$.

(K, σ) is a *difference-closed field*: systems of difference polynomial equations that have solutions in some extension of (K, σ) have a solution in (K, σ) .

- An F -invariant subvariety V is a definable relation amongst the sets $f_i^\sharp := \{a \mid \sigma(a) = f_i(a)\}$.
- Nonorthogonality: $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ if there is an essentially binary definable relation between f_i^\sharp and f_j^\sharp .
- If there is an essentially n -ary (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , then $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ for all $i, j \leq n$.
- Nonorthogonality is an equivalence relation.
- Degree is an invariant of nonorthogonality classes.

A generic field automorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$.

(K, σ) is a *difference-closed field*: systems of difference polynomial equations that have solutions in some extension of (K, σ) have a solution in (K, σ) .

- An F -invariant subvariety V is a definable relation amongst the sets $f_i^\sharp := \{a \mid \sigma(a) = f_i(a)\}$.
- Nonorthogonality: $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ if there is an essentially binary definable relation between f_i^\sharp and f_j^\sharp .
- If there is an essentially n -ary (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n , then $f_i^\sharp \not\perp f_j^\sharp$ for all $i, j \leq n$.
- Nonorthogonality is an equivalence relation.
- Degree is an invariant of nonorthogonality classes.

The Zilber Trichotomy

Our main tool:

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

In a difference-closed field, polynomials come in three flavors:

- **Fieldlike** linear f are all nonorthogonal to each other.
- **Grouplike** f , linearly equivalent to monomials or Chebyshev polynomials, are nonorthogonal iff they have the same degree, and all definable relations among such f^\sharp are essentially ternary.
- All other polynomials are **trivial**: all definable relations among such f^\sharp are essentially unary or binary.

The Zilber Trichotomy

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

In a difference-closed field, definable sets f^\sharp for polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ come in three flavors:

- *Fieldlike linear f are all nonorthogonal to each other.*
- *Grouplike f , **linearly equivalent to monomials or Chebyshev polynomials**, are nonorthogonal iff they have the same degree, and all definable relations among such f^\sharp are essentially ternary.*
- **All other polynomials are trivial:** *all definable relations among such f^\sharp are essentially unary or binary.*

We stand on the shoulders of giants: only **these** are new results.

The Zilber Trichotomy

Giants' shoulders:

Theorem (Chatzidakis, Hrushovski, and Peterzil)

(Zilber Trichotomy for difference-closed fields.)

Any Lascar rank 1 type in ACFA is exactly one of the following:

- *(fieldlike) nonorthogonal to a generic type of a fixed field of a definable automorphism;*
- *(grouplike) nonorthogonal to a generic type of a rank 1 definable modular subgroup of an algebraic group;*
- *(trivial) model-theoretic algebraic closure is essentially unary.*

Back to algebraic dynamics

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

Any F -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n is a fiber product of

- *(f_i, f_j) -invariant plane curves;*
- *(f_i, f_j, f_k) -invariant surfaces coming from the graph of multiplication, when all three are linearly equivalent to monomials of Chebyshev polynomials of the same degree;*
- *subvarieties that only involve those x_i for which f_i are linear.*

Back to algebraic dynamics

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

Any F -invariant subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n is a fiber product of

- (f_i, f_j) -invariant place curves;
- (f_i, f_j, f_k) -invariant surfaces coming from the graph of multiplication, when all three are linearly equivalent to monomials of Chebyshev polynomials of the same degree;
- subvarieties that only involve those x_i for which f_i are linear.

Linear dynamics are completely understood.

We then completely characterize (f, \hat{f}) -invariant place curves as compositions of graphs of g such that $h_i \circ g = g \circ h_{i+1}$ or vice versa, with $f = h_1$ and $\hat{f} = h_n$, refining Ritt's Theorem.

Back to algebraic dynamics

Linear dynamics are completely understood.

We then completely characterize (f, \hat{f}) -invariant plane curves as compositions of graphs of g such that $h_i \circ g = g \circ h_{i+1}$ or vice versa, with $f = h_1$ and $\hat{f} = h_n$, refining Ritt's Theorem.

Theorem (M.-Scanlon)

There is a Zariski-dense F -orbit unless F is linearly related to G with $g_i(x) = x$ for some i .

Thank you

Model Theory
and Rational
Dynamics

Alice
Medvedev

ever so much for the cookies!



Thank you

Model Theory
and Rational
Dynamics

Alice
Medvedev

ever so much for the invitation!

